

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,062		12/11/2001	David E. Johnson	SL-04	7252
24985	7590	03/26/2003			
KENNETH	S WAT	TKINS JR	EXAMINER		
372 RIVER D			PATTERSON, MARC A		
DAHLONEGA, GA 30533				TATIERSON, MARC A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	ব
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				45-5
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/015,062	JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Marc A Patterson	1772	
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	s
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
	Pagnanaiva to communication(s) filed on 11 /	Dogombor 2001		
1)⊠	<u> </u>			
2a)□	, _	is action is non-final.	estare proposition as to the m	arita ia
3) <u> </u>	closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
<u> </u>	tion of Claims			
4)(Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application			
5√	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	with from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquiroment		
-	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or or tion Papers	election requirement.		
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	- ' '	•	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
а)			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	je
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			lication).
;	a) \prod The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.(o. 99 120 and/or 121.	
Attachme		4) 🗖 Janasia	u Summon, /DTO /42\ Danas Na/a\	
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 10/015,062

Art Unit: 1772

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 18 and 23 25, drawn to a shrink film, classified in class 428, subclass 34.9.
 - II. Claim 19 22, drawn to a method of reducing print distortion on a shrink sleeve,
 classified in class 430, subclass 300.
 - III. Claim 26, drawn to a method of reducing the shrink ratio of a shrink sleeve, classified in class 156, subclass 89.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by materially different method, such as printing the film following the formation of the seal.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different method, such as one in which the shrink ratio is

Application/Control Number: 10/015,062 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

not selected to be less than an article circumference ratio defined as the maximum transverse circumference.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it is not necessary for the shrink ratio to be less than an article circumference ratio defined as the maximum transverse circumference. The subcombination has separate utility such as a sleeve having a reduced shrink ratio.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - i. A shrink sleeve made of a preferentially orientated film (Claims 1-9).
- ii. A shrink film for use with a contoured article, having a maximum transverse circumference (Claims 10 18 and 23 25).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1772

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Kenneth Watkins on January 31, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/015,062

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Patterson, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at (703) 308-4251. FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-9310. FAXs received after 4 P.M. will not be processed until the following business day.

Marc A. Patterson, PhD.

Mare Patterson Art Unit 1772

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5